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Abstract We report $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ step-heating ages of Paleocene-Eocene (P-E) boundary impact spherules from Atlantic Margin coastal plain and open ocean sites. We test the hypothesis that the P-E spherules are reworked from an earlier event (e.g., K-Pg impact at ~66 Ma), which predicts a cooling age discordant from their depositional age of 55.93 ± 0.05 Ma at the P-E boundary. Isochrons from the step-heating analysis yield $^{40}\text{Ar}^{36}\text{Ar}$ intercepts in excess of the modern in most cases, indicating that the spherules have excess radiogenic Ar ($^{40}\text{Ar}^*$), typical of impact glasses incompletely degassed before solidification. The weighted mean of the isochron-corrected plateau age is 54.2 ± 2.5 Ma (1σ), and their isochron age is 55.4 ± 4.0 Ma, both indistinguishable from their P-E depositional age, not supporting the K-Pg reworking hypothesis. This is consistent with all other stratigraphic and geochemical evidence for an impact at the P-E boundary and ejecta distribution by air fall.

Plain Language Summary We show that the radioisotopic ages of the recently discovered Paleocene-Eocene (P-E) boundary impact melt spherules (54.2 ± 2.5 Ma) are indistinguishable from their depositional age (55.93 ± 0.05 Ma). These initial data indicate that the material is unlikely to have been reworked from some earlier event and hence accompany the climate change at the P-E transition. These air-fall ejecta are the most isochronous P-E horizon available. Inherited radiogenic $^{40}\text{Ar}$ in the spherules is consistent with a P-E impact site at the Marquez Dome crater (eastern Texas); these carbonates overlie petroleum deposits that could have contributed $^{12}\text{C}$-enriched carbon to the atmosphere upon impact.

1. Introduction

The Paleocene-Eocene (P-E) boundary (56.0 Ma; Gradstein & Ogg, 2012, time scale) is marked by the onset of a carbon isotope excursion (CIE) observed globally (Aubry et al., 2007). This marine CIE, first described by Kennett and Stott (1991) at Site 690 in the Southern Ocean, is associated with a global benthic foraminiferal extinction (see Thomas, 2007, for review). The rapid $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ excursion is observed globally in both organic and inorganic marine and terrestrial carbon reservoirs (Koch et al., 1992), is accompanied by ~5 °C global warming and widespread ocean acidification (Zachos et al., 2005), and changes in terrestrial mammal and plant assemblages (McInerney & Wing, 2011; Wing et al., 2005). The P-E thermal maximum (PETM) event is often cited as an analog for anthropogenic climate change and has been the focus of decades of research. Among myriad proposed triggers for the rapid event (see McInerney & Wing, 2011, for review), an extraterrestrial impact (Cramer & Kent, 2005; Kent et al., 2003) has more recently garnered renewed interest upon the discovery of extraterrestrial impact ejecta within the CIE onset (Figure 1). Schaller et al. (2016) identified glass spherules within the P-E boundary CIE that meet all the criteria of impact ejecta, including their unique chemical compositions, and inclusions of high-temperature quartz glass (lechatelierite) and shocked quartz grains. In addition, the glass spherules have all the characteristics of air-fall deposition. The ejecta origin of the material has not been disputed, and it occurs in a restricted stratigraphic interval at Wilson Lake B and Millville (Ocean Drilling Program [ODP] 174AX on the Atlantic Coastal Plain; Miller et al., 2017; Sugarman et al., 2005), a natural P-E boundary exposure near Medford, NJ, and Site 1051B in the open ocean, consistent with air fall (Figure 1). However, because the material is found on the coastal plain at the base of the thick Marlboro Clay unit, which is associated with increased terrigenous sedimentation (Fung et al., 2019; Lancel et al., 2002; Makarova et al., 2017; Schaller & Fung, 2018), there is an underlying concern that the spherules may be reworked ejecta from an earlier impact (e.g., the K-Pg). This “reworking hypothesis” implies that the cooling age of the microtektites is substantially different...
than their apparent depositional age of 55.93 ± 0.05 Ma at the P-E boundary; in this study, we test this hypothesis. Ancillary evidence is inconsistent with the reworking hypothesis: (a) Bulk chemical composition of P-E boundary ejecta is different than the K-Pg ejecta; and (b) P-E boundary spherules were found at Site 1051B, which is in the open ocean (~1,900-m water depth) and unlikely to have had a major coarse-grained terrigenous sediment influx. This ancillary evidence led us to conduct a more conclusive test—radioisotopic dates on the ejecta. Here we present 11 new 40Ar-39Ar radiometric ages (nine step heating, one 40Ar-39Ar total fusion, and one K-Ar) on the P-E spherules that reveal a cooling age that is indistinguishable from the depositional age of the material.

2. P-E Boundary Age

The P-E boundary is marked by the onset of the CIE (Aubry et al., 2007), which is observed globally and can be offset in bulk sediments and foraminifera measured in the same samples (Thomas et al., 2002). The age of the P-E boundary has been estimated by integrating radiometric dates on earliest Eocene ashes with cyclostratigraphy (Charles et al., 2011; Westerhold et al., 2015, 2012, 2009), but the exact age of the CIE onset is not known by absolute dating techniques. The basis for the age of the CIE are 40Ar-39Ar dates from an ash in the Fur Formation that is correlated to the “−17 ash” in ODP Site 550 (Storey et al., 2007), where Westerhold et al. (2015) used cyclostratigraphy to arrive at an age of 55.93 ± 0.05 Ma for the CIE onset at that site. However, both the −17 and +19 ashes fall well above the recovery of the CIE and hence only put an astronomical age on the boundary itself. A bentonite in the “core” of the CIE at Spitsbergen is dated to 55.785 Ma using U-Pb on single-zircon crystals and then used to cyclostratigraphically constrain the CIE onset to 55.866 ± 0.098 Ma (Charles et al., 2011). As far as we are aware, this U-Pb-dated bentonite is stratigraphically the closest published absolute date to the CIE onset and appears to agree with Westerhold et al.’s (2015) age for the boundary. Jaramillo et al. (2010) used U-Pb to date zircon crystals in a pyroclastic tuff at the level of a CIE on the Venezuelan coastal plain to 56.09 ± 0.03 Ma, which is suggested to be the onset of the P-E CIE. However, the CIE does not manifest in its typical form at this site, and the “tuffaceous sandstone” containing the zircons appears to be above the onset of the CIE, within the excursion body. It is possible that this ash layer is reworked, which would explain the discrepancy between the Charles et al. (2011) and Jaramillo et al. (2010) dates.

The glass spherules (microtektites and microkrystites) in the CIE onset (Schaller et al., 2016; Figure 1) provide the means to radiometrically date the P-E boundary directly using 40Ar-39Ar, which is among the most reliable isotope dating methods for impact ejecta (Jourdan et al., 2007). Because the precision on the microtektite ages reported in this paper is well outside the bounds necessary to revisit the age of the CIE onset, we do not address the age of the P-E boundary in this contribution. This is in part because the K content of the P-
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microkrystite, mean ± 1 SD (n = 5)</td>
<td>38.06 ± 3.71</td>
<td>18.36 ± 0.90</td>
<td>7.95 ± 1.64</td>
<td>5.67 ± 1.72</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.08</td>
<td>23.26 ± 2.58</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microtektite, mean ± 1 SD (n = 14)</td>
<td>36.87 ± 1.69</td>
<td>18.29 ± 0.33</td>
<td>7.58 ± 0.79</td>
<td>5.34 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.16</td>
<td>24.08 ± 2.31</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: None of these spherules were measured in this study because of their size and K contents; it was not feasible to cross section the material to be dated.
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Spherules is very low (generally <0.5 wt.%; see Table 1; Schaller & Fung, 2018) and their sizes are relatively small (average 302-μm diameter at the Atlantic Coastal Plain sites and 274 μm at Site 1051B; Schaller et al., 2016), making dating individual grains by ⁴⁰Ar-³⁹Ar an analytical challenge. Rather, the ⁴⁰Ar-³⁹Ar analyses provide the means to establish whether the cooling age of the spherules is consistent with their depositional age, thereby testing the hypothesis presented above. Work on refining the precision of microtektite ⁴⁰Ar-³⁹Ar dates using a much larger population of grains is ongoing, but because of the critical nature of the current findings, we report our first round of age determinations here.

3. Argon-Isotope Measurements and ⁴⁰Ar-³⁹Ar Age Determinations

For the first round of dating P-E ejecta material, 11 spherules were selected from coastal plain sites at Wilson Lake B (ODP 174AX; 39.6598°N, 75.0472°W), Medford, Millville (ODP 174AX; 39.4046°N, 75.0889°W), and open-ocean ODP Site 1051B at Blake Nose (ODP 171B 30.0531°N, 76.3578°W; Figure 1). Though it is difficult to distinguish microtektites (glasses) from microkrystites (containing crystallites) from their exteriors, we included both ejecta forms in our irradiation and analyses. We dated spherules that ranged from ~280 to 100 μm in diameter, with weights between 10 and 50 μg. The total K content measured on a suite of P-E spherules is between 0.21% and 0.25% (Table 1; Schaller & Fung, 2018), which equates to expected ³⁹Ar yields in the attomole to low-femtomole range (10⁻¹⁶ to 10⁻¹⁵ moles). Such small grains with low K content present a unique analytical challenge.

Argon-isotope measurements were conducted at Rutgers University on an upgraded Mass Analyzer Products 215–50 noble gas mass spectrometer following procedures given in Turrin et al. (2010), Lindsay et al. (2015), and Lindsay et al. (2014). Details are given in electronic supplement.

4. Irradiation and Standards

The P-E glass spherules, along with the reference standards, Fish Canyon sanidine (28.201 Ma; Kuiper et al., 2008), Hb3Gr hornblende (1.080 Ma; Jourdan & Renne, 2007), and the Fire Clay sanidine U-Pb dated at 314.6 ± 0.9 Ma (Lyons et al., 2006) were loaded into pits drilled into a 1-cm-diameter Al disks for neutron irradiation. The pits are arranged in a controlled geometry to facilitate the correction of any measurable gradients in the neutron flux. Neutron irradiation was carried out at the United States Geological Survey TRIGA reactor for 80 hr, without Cd shielding, producing a nominal J values of 1.95 × 10⁻².

For all of the ages reported here, uncertainties are expressed as 1σ unless otherwise specified, and we use the following symbols and constants: Ar* = radiogenic argon; ³⁹Ar = ³⁹Ar produced from ³⁹K; λ = 5.81 × 10⁻¹¹ s⁻¹; λ = Kₑ 4.962 × 10⁻¹⁰ s⁻¹; ⁴⁰K/K total = 1.167 × 10⁻⁴ (Steiger and Jäger, 1977); ⁴⁰ArCa/³⁹ArCa = (2.57 ± 0.03) × 10⁻⁴; ⁴⁰ArCa/³⁷ArCa = (6.62 ± 0.1) × 10⁻⁴; ⁴⁰ArK/³⁹ArK = (9.8 ± 0.2) × 10⁻³; ³⁸ArK/³⁹ArK = (1.319 ± 0.001) × 10⁻².

5. Results and Discussion

5.1. Ar-Isotope Systematics

A total of 11 samples were analyzed by the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar method: nine by step heating and two by total fusion. When plotted on isochrons, the Ar isotopic data indicate that the glass spherules have a trapped component that contains variable amounts of excess ⁴⁰Ar relative to modern atmospheric Ar (Figures 2 and S1 in the supporting information). The variance weighted average ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar ratio of the trapped component from the individual spherules is 334 ± 13 (Figure S2). When all of the isotopic data are cast on a single-isotope correlation diagram (Figure S3), the distribution produces an age of 49 ± 2 Ma with a trapped ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar
of 321 ± 7 and a mean-square-weighted deviation of 2.8, indicating that there is more dispersion in the 78 data points than can be accounted for by the measurement errors. Applying an outlier elimination algorithm yields a 73-point linear array that corresponds to a 40Ar/36Ar value of 313 ± 6 and an age of 50 ± 3 Ma with a mean-square-weighted deviation of 1.1. From these results, we conclude that the trapped Ar in the tektites has a variable 40Ar/36Ar ratio.

Despite evidence for the glass spherules having formed above closure temperature (e.g., the presence of lechatelierite with a melting point of ~1,750 °C; see Schaller et al., 2016), postimpact vapor-condensation and/or melt solidification is so rapid that existing radiogenic argon in the melted target rocks is unable to completely degas (Jourdan et al., 2007; Schwarz & Lippolt, 2014). As such, of the nine step-heating results reported here, only two have a trapped component with an 40Ar/36Ar ratio close to the presumptive Eocene atmospheric value around 298 (samples 22924 and 22922). The other samples all indicate varying amounts of inherited radiogenic 40Ar*, which is typical of ejecta generated from target rocks that are much older than the age of the impact, rather than contemporaneous (Jourdan et al., 2007).

Unlike other impact glasses that show evidence of incomplete degassing (e.g., the Ries impact crater melt glasses; Schwarz & Lippolt, 2014), the 36Ar/40Ar versus 39Ar/40Ar of each heating step for most of the P-E spherules falls on a simple mixing line (Figures 2 and S1). The isochrons of samples 22879 and 22917 (Figures 2 and S1) show this mixing characteristic. Thus, the low-temperature steps progress along a mixing line from the upper left of the isochron plot to the lower right with increasing temperature and move back along the same mixing line at higher incremental temperature steps. The P-E spherules appear to have only a single component of inherited 40Ar, and the step-heating ages may therefore be corrected using the trapped 40Ar/36Ar component (Figure S2).

5.2. Step-Heating Plateau Ages

We determined the plateau steps for the glass spherules (Table 2 and Figure 3). To account for the variable trapped 40Ar/36Ar ratio of each spherule, we cast the step-heating data on isochrons where the y-intercept provides the 40Ar/36Ar ratio of the trapped component (Table 2 and Figures 2, S1, and S2), which we use to calculate the apparent age for each step. Following Fleck et al. (1977), we calculate the plateau ages using the variance-weighted average of at least three consecutive steps that are analytically indistinguishable at the 95% confidence level and yield >50% of the total 39ArK released (Table 2; see discussion of plateau criteria in the Supporting Information). For comparison, we also provide results following the more conventional approach of using the modern atmospheric 40Ar/36Ar ratio (298.6) for the trapped component (Table 2). Nine samples were analyzed by step heating, yielding step-heating cumulative release spectra, K/Ca, and % 40Ar* (Figure 3 and Table 2). In general, the lower temperature steps (~700 to 900 °C) yield smaller Ar signals and comprise the first ~20% or less of the total 39ArK released. These first steps may represent the differences in degassing of Ar from glassy versus crystalline phases, which are at different relative abundances within each spherule (depending on whether it is a microtektite or a microkrystite; see Schaller et al., 2016).
## Table 2

### Summary Table of $^{40}$Ar/$^{38}$Ar Step-Heating and Isochron Ages for the Paleocene–Eocene Boundary Impact Ejecta

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>J × 10$^{-2}$</th>
<th>Integrated $^{40}$Ar/$^{38}$Ar age (±1σ)</th>
<th>Integrated $^{36}$Ar/$^{38}$Ar age (±1σ)</th>
<th>Plateau age (±1σ)</th>
<th>Integrated $^{40}$Ar*/$^{39}$Ar K (±)</th>
<th>Integrated $^{40}$Ar*/$^{39}$Ar K (±)</th>
<th>Plateau age (±1σ)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WL-365.9</td>
<td>16.29 ± 8.1</td>
<td>364 ± 29</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>11.13 ± 1.10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>94.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>94.9 ± 1.3</td>
<td>Two splits of WL365.9 both small grain-low K microtektites. No useful isochron for either sample; data too compressed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22878-01</td>
<td>1.945 ± 0.001</td>
<td>94.4 ± 6.4</td>
<td>298 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.57</td>
<td>68 ± 19</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>45 ± 15</td>
<td>Best age estimate assuming modern atmosphere for $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar trap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22878-02</td>
<td>1.945 ± 0.001</td>
<td>22.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>298 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.79 ± 1.49</td>
<td>62 ± 51</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>51 ± 42</td>
<td>High K microtektite. Isochron indicates that $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar trap may contain excess $^{40}$Ar. Best age estimate, isochron-corrected plateau.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1051-36 37</td>
<td>1.945 ± 0.001</td>
<td>52.8 ± 12</td>
<td>298 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.48</td>
<td>65 ± 16</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>53 ± 9</td>
<td>Best age estimate, isochron-corrected plateau.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22916-01</td>
<td>88.4 ± 3.4</td>
<td>55.69 ± 7.3</td>
<td>298 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.24</td>
<td>58.7 ± 8</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>55.8 ± 7.2</td>
<td>Best age estimate, isochron-corrected plateau.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22917-01</td>
<td>54.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>35.27 ± 8.7</td>
<td>298 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.30</td>
<td>36 ± 10</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>35.5 ± 6.3</td>
<td>Best age estimate, isochron-corrected plateau.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22921-01</td>
<td>76.1 ± 1.8</td>
<td>65.1 ± 27</td>
<td>298 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.30</td>
<td>36 ± 10</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>54 ± 56</td>
<td>Best age estimate, isochron-corrected plateau.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22922-01</td>
<td>33.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>54.26 ± 5.8</td>
<td>298 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.26</td>
<td>77.5 ± 9</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>53.8 ± 5.4</td>
<td>Best age estimate, isochron-corrected plateau.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The table includes columns for sample ID, J value, integrated Ar/Ar age, MSWD, plateau age, and comments for each sample. The comments section provides additional details about the isochron analysis and age estimates.
### Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>J×10⁻² (±1σ)</th>
<th>Integrated Ca/K (±1σ)</th>
<th>Isochron age (±1σ)</th>
<th>⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar trapped (±1σ)</th>
<th>MSWD</th>
<th>Integrated ⁴⁰Ar*/³⁶Ar (±1σ)</th>
<th>Integrated ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar (±1σ)</th>
<th>Plateau age (±1σ)</th>
<th>MSWD</th>
<th>Steps</th>
<th>n/total</th>
<th>⁰³⁹Ar</th>
<th>Mol ⁰³⁹Ar × 10⁻¹⁵ Ca/K (±1σ)</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MD-0-1.5</td>
<td>1.971 ± 0.001</td>
<td>92.0 ± 5.4</td>
<td>63.6 ± 14</td>
<td>436 ± 6.5</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>2.14 ± 0.39</td>
<td>75 ± 13</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>64 ± 15</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>A-H</td>
<td>8/10</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.01</td>
<td>79.9 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WL-365.9</td>
<td>1.971 ± 0.001</td>
<td>37.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>49.4 ± 24</td>
<td>300 ± 25</td>
<td>0.365</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.40</td>
<td>57 ± 14</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>50.8 ± 9.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>A-G</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.01</td>
<td>39.7 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1051-36-37</td>
<td>1.971 ± 0.001</td>
<td>99.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>12.48 ± 9.7</td>
<td>334 ± 52</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.02 ± 0.35</td>
<td>104 ± 62</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>54 ± 39</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>A-F</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.01</td>
<td>129 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WL-365.9</td>
<td>1.971 ± 0.001</td>
<td>80.9 ± 1.8</td>
<td>298.60 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td>53 ± 9</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>Total fusion. No isochron or plateau age available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1051-36-37</td>
<td>RPI-1601</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>298.60 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>54.9 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Abbreviations: σ, standard deviation; σₓ, standard error or standard error on the mean; MSWD, mean-square-weighted deviation; RPI, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. |

Note. We compare step-heating results (a) assuming modern atmospheric ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar for trapped component and (b) using ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar trapped determined from isochrons. Ages used for averaging are indicated in bold. All ages are expressed in Ma. Abbreviations: σ, standard deviation; σₓ, standard error or standard error on the mean; MSWD, mean-square-weighted deviation; RPI, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute.

**Measured on calibrated quadrupole at RPI (see Schaller & Fung, 2018).**

**Preferred age includes both total fusion ages and all plateau ages in bold.**
Figure 3. $^{40}$Ar–$^{39}$Ar step-heating diagrams for samples in Table 2. Blue color/dashed lines show the plateau age calculated using the assumed atmospheric initial ratio $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar = 298.6 ± 0.2. Pink color/dashed lines show the plateau age using the trapped $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar determined from corresponding isochrons in Figures 2 and S1 in the supporting information. The plateau ages are calculated using the steps indicated, and the temperatures are indicated in Celsius for each step. Insets are light micrographs or electron backscatter images showing the spherule corresponding to the analysis; scale bar (22921 and 22922) is 200 microns.
Figure 4. Age distribution summary diagram showing the difference when ages are calculated using an atmospheric $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ of 298.6 (blue) versus the $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$ of the trapped component from isochrons (orange) for (a) total fusion and (c) plateaus from step heating. Ages in (b) are from the slope of the isochrons shown in supporting information Figure S1. Horizontal axis scale is necessarily large to encompass the anomalously old integrated ages in (a). All data are found in Table 2 and S1.
These first steps, representing ~20% of the total gas, have significantly older and more scattered $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar apparent ages, except in the case of sample 22879, in which the last ~4% of the gas had significantly older apparent ages than the preceding 96%. In contrast, the last ~50% of the $^{39}$Ar$_k$ is released from the majority of the samples in one or two steps at temperatures of >1,000 °C. This component is most likely from the refractory glass phases of the microtektites (Figure 3).

In addition, samples displaying low-temperature variability in $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar release spectra (22916, 22917, 22922, 22923, 22924, 22926, and 22878) also show more variability in Ca/K, indicating that Ca and K may be heterogeneously distributed and/or partitioned between the glass and crystalline phases within the spherules. The Ar system in the glassy microtektites may be incompletely reset by diffusion before spherule solidification, whereas the microkrystites might be expected to be more fully equilibrated. The disturbed humped and/or saddle-shaped Ar release spectra are fairly typical of incompletely degassed impact glasses (Jourdan et al., 2007; Schwarz & Lippolt, 2014) that carry an inherited $^{40}$Ar signal from the target rocks. In general, however, the samples with the highest K/Ca ratios yield the most precise ages (e.g., sample 22922), and almost all the integrated ages are older than the plateau and isochron ages (Figure 4). The weighted average of the integrated ages of this population is 59.4 ± 4.5 Ma when corrected using the $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar ratio determined from the isochrons (Table 2), versus 62.7 ± 2.6 Ma assuming a $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar ratio of 298.6. Notably, the integrated age of glassy sample 22924 (56 ± 14 Ma) is indistinguishable from the total fusion ages of samples 22925 and RPI-1601 (53 ± 12 and 54.9 ± 4 Ma, respectively). Two samples (22917 and 22926) yielded very little total gas, at far less than $1 \times 10^{-16}$ moles, and were excluded from the average (see Supporting Information).

Because the spherules meet all the criteria of impact ejecta that were generated in the same event (Schaller et al., 2016), we use the weighted average of the ages from the analyzed population of grains. The variance-weighted average of the plateau ages is 59.4 ± 2.5 Ma (1σ) using a uniformly applied modern $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar ratio of 298.6, and 54.2 ± 2.5 Ma (1σ) using the $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar ratios determined from the isochrons as indicated in Table 2 (Figure 4). The cooling age of 54.2 ± 2.5 Ma is indistinguishable from the depositional age of the spherules at the P-E boundary of 55.93 ± 0.05 Ma (Westerhold et al., 2015). These isochron-corrected plateau ages are almost always younger than the integrated ages, again pointing to an incomplete resetting of the Ar isotope system of the melted target material before solidification in the ejecta, which is typical of impact events.

The $^{40}$Ar* excess provides ancillary evidence of the mode of spherule formation in an impact event and gives a clue about the age difference between the target rocks and the impact event. Determining the exact age difference is not feasible given the limited size of the current data set, yet we can surmise that the target rocks were not contemporaneous marine rocks or sediments, because these materials probably would not provide excess radiogenic $^{40}$Ar. Early Cretaceous shallow marine carbonate/siliciclastic sedimentary rocks of cratonic origin at the impact site could account for the high Ca content and marine Sr/Ca ratio (Schaller & Fung, 2018) of the spherules, as well as the inherited radiogenic component. Such a lithology would be consistent with the target rocks of the Marquez Dome crater in eastern Texas, which is the remnant of a 12.7-km-diameter impact of P‐E age (Buchanan et al., 1998), and has a fission track age of 58 ± 3.1 Ma (McHone & Sorkhabi, 1994). The age of the Marquez crater is currently indistinguishable from the age of the spherules, and hence, any datable impact material proximal to the crater should be revisited with more precise methodology in a future study. It is notable that the Marquez impact crater is within carbonate rocks that overlie petroleum deposits, a potential source for $^{12}$C‐enriched carbon to be liberated upon impact, which could have contributed to the PETM CIE. This tentative correspondence deserves more thorough investigation in future work.

6. Preliminary Implications for the P-E Boundary

The $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar age of 54.2 ± 2.5 Ma is crucial for two important reasons: (a) It demonstrates that the depositional age of the P-E ejecta material is indistinguishable from the cooling age of the spherules; and (b) it does not support the hypothesis that the spherules are reworked ejecta material from the K‐Pg impact, establishing a record of extraterrestrial impact at the P-E boundary. Although the error envelope on the $^{40}$Ar-$^{39}$Ar age does not completely exclude the possibility that the ejecta are reworked from an impact somewhere in that time window, such a reworking scenario does not explain presence of the spherules at open-ocean Site 1051B.
within the onset of the CIE. Lateral transport and redeposition are unlikely to have been significant at an open-ocean site on the Blake Nose. Multiple lines of evidence (benthic foraminiferal assemblages, stable isoto-
topes, trace elements, sedimentology, and X-ray fluorescence) indicate that any sediment transported down-slope in the PETM section at Site 1051 originated from a penecontemporaneous horizon just upslope immediately below the onset of the CIE (Katz et al., 1999). The radiocarbon age of a spherule from Site 1051 (22879) supports that it is not a reworked K-Pg spherule. Furthermore, the chemistry of the P-E spher-
ules differs significantly from those found at K-Pg boundary sections (Schaller et al., 2016), with the latter
having much higher in silica content (45% to 68%; Alvarez et al., 1992) and substantially lower Ca/K ratios
(Dalrymple et al., 1993; Swisher et al., 1992). Moreover, there are no anomalous Paleocene microfossils (e.g., foraminifera) deposited along with the spherules that would indicate delivery by lateral transport or rework-
ing. Without any a priori reason to suspect that the depositional age of the spherules is discordant from their
cooling age, we conclude that the microtektites were most likely deposited by air fall from an impact at the
onset of the CIE.

Because the depositional and cooling ages of the spherules are indistinguishable, the P-E impact ejecta may
represent an isochronous marker to which other observations at the P-E boundary may be referenced. If we
accept the air-fall ejecta deposit as the most isochronous horizon available, we are freed from the constraint
of referencing all other observations at the P-E boundary to the onset of the CIE, which is diachronous
depending on the response time of the reservoir in question (e.g., Kirtland Turner & Ridgwell, 2016). This
opens up the new possibility of assessing the true leads-lags in C-system response between different surficial
carbon reservoirs that react and equilibrate on different time scales. For example, one may reasonably expect
that the continental shelves would respond much more rapidly to an atmospheric perturbation than the deep
ocean (Chen et al., 2013; Thomas et al., 2004, 2005) but the level of resolution in open-ocean sections is
insufficient to address this directly using the CIE recorded at each site. Referencing the CIE to the ejecta layer
will make these effects apparent particularly at high sedimentation rate sites and will be the subject for
significant further work. In addition, refinement of the age of the ejecta can be accomplished by analyzing
five- to ten-fold more spherules with a preference for those with higher K content.

Our results indicate that the P-E spherule horizon is (a) air-fall impact ejecta, (b) primary (not reworked),
and (c) likely an isochronous horizon at the P-E boundary. The distribution of the spherules at multiple sites
located >1,000 km apart on the Atlantic Coastal Plain in P-E boundary sediments, their related major ele-
ment chemistries, low volatile contents, and mineralogy, along with the inclusion of shocked quartz grains
and high-temperature glasses, establishes that the material is impact ejecta. Their sedimentary distribution
and radiometric age indicate that they are not reworked from another impact, that they are air fall, and that
their cooling age is indistinguishable from their depositional age. As such, the virtually instantaneous time
line created by the spherule horizon provides the most isochronous layer within the P-E boundary CIE that
has yet been identified. An important implication of our results is that the stratigraphic superposition of the
P-E ejecta within the onset of the carbon-cycle perturbation provides the only physical evidence of a poten-
tial forcing mechanism at a critical juncture in Earth’s climate history. An important implication of our results
is that the stratigraphic superposition of the P-E ejecta within the onset of the carbon-cycle perturbation
provides the only physical evidence of a potential forcing mechanism at a critical juncture in Earth’s climate history.
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