Human evolutionary scholars have long supposed that the earliest stone tools were made by the genus *Homo* and that this technological development was directly linked to climate change and the spread of savannah grasslands. New fieldwork in West Turkana, Kenya, has identified evidence of much earlier hominin technological behaviour. We report the discovery of Lomekwi 3, a 3.3-million–year–old archaeological site where *in situ* stone artefacts occur in spatio-temporal association with Pliocene hominin fossils in a wooded palaeoenvironment. The Lomekwi 3 knappers, with a developing understanding of stone’s fracture properties, combined core reduction with battering activities. Given the implications of the Lomekwi 3 assemblage for models aiming to converge environmental change, hominin evolution and technological origins, we propose for it the name ‘Lomekwian’, which predates the Oldowan by 700,000 years and marks a new beginning to the known archaeological record.

Conventional wisdom in human evolutionary studies has assumed that the origins of hominin sharp-edged stone tool production were linked to the emergence of the genus *Homo*1 in response to climate change and the spread of savannah grasslands2–4. In 1964, fossils looking more like later *Homo* than australopithecines were discovered at Olduvai Gorge (Tanzania) in association with the earliest known stone tool culture, the Oldowan, and so were assigned to the new species: *Homo habilis* or ‘handy man’. The premise was that our lineage alone took the cognitive leap of hitting stones together to strike off sharp flakes and that this was the foundation of our evolutionary success. Subsequent discoveries pushed back the date for the first Oldowan stone tools to 2.6 million years ago2–6 (Ma) and the earliest fossils attributable to early *Homo* to only 2.4–2.3 Ma7–9, opening up the possibility of tool manufacture by hominins other than *Homo* before 2.6 Ma10–12.

The earliest known artefacts from the sites of Gona (~2.6 Ma)10,12, Hadar (2.36 ± 0.07 Ma)11, and Omo (2.34 ± 0.04 Ma)12 in Ethiopia, and especially Lokalalei 2C (2.34 ± 0.05 Ma)13 in Kenya, demonstrate that these hominin knappers already had considerable abilities in terms of planning depth, manual dexterity and raw material selectivity14–19. Cut-marked bones from Dikika, Ethiopia20, dated at 3.39 Ma, has added to speculation on pre-2.6-Ma hominin stone tool use. It has been argued that percussive activities other than knapping, such as the pounding and/or battering of plant foods or bones, could have been critical components of an even earlier, yet-unsurged, stage of hominin stone tool use21–25. Any such artefacts may have gone unrecognized if they do not directly resemble known Oldowan lithics, occur at very low densities or were made of perishable materials20.

In 2011, the West Turkana Archaeological Project (WTAP) began an archaeological survey and excavation in the Lomekwi Member (3.44–2.53 Ma) of the Nachukui Formation (west of Lake Turkana, northern Kenya; Fig. 1) to search for evidence of early hominin lithic behaviour. Several promising surface artefact concentrations and dispersed single finds were discovered. At the Lomekwi 3 archaeological site, 28 lithic artefacts were initially found lying on the surface or within a slope deposit, and one core was uncovered *in situ*. By the close of the subsequent 2012 field season, excavation at LOM3 had reached 13 m², revealing an additional 18 stone tools and 11 fossils *in situ* (Extended Data Table 1) within a horizon (approximately 80 cm) of indurated sandy-granular sediments stratified in a thick bed of fine silts (Fig. 2). A further 100 lithic artefacts and 22 fossil remains were collected from the surface immediately around the site along with two artefacts from the slope deposit (Extended Data Fig. 1). These finds occur in the same geographic and chronological range as the paratype of *Kenyanthropus platyops* (KMN-WT 38350)22, other hominin fossils generally referred to cf. *K. platyops*23, and one unpublished hominin tooth (KMN-WT 64060) found by WTAP in 2012 (Supplementary Information, part A and Supplementary Table 1).

**Geochronological and palaeoenvironmental contexts**

The chronological context of LOM3 derives from correlation with the Lomekwi Member of the Nachukui Formation24 and radiometrically dated tuffs within it25–30, as well as from magnetostratigraphy of the site and estimated sedimentation rates. The composite type section of the Lomekwi Member, 2–5 km east of LOM3, is bracketed by the α-Tulu Bor Tuff (3.44 ± 0.02 Ma) at the base and the Lokalalei Tuff (2.53 ± 0.02 Ma) at the top30–32. Closer to LOM3, two new sections provide additional context. Section 1 (CSF 2011–1; ~46 m thick, located 1.44 to 1 km north of LOM3, Extended Data Fig. 2) includes
the α- and β-Tulu Bor Tuffs in the lower third (Supplementary Information, part B). Composite Section 2 (upper CSF-2012-9, ~44 m thick, located 0.4 km south of LOM3 and lower CSF-2011-2 located 0.28 km north of LOM3, Fig. 3a, b and Extended Data Fig. 2) includes at the base a lenticular tuff correlated geochemically with the Tororo Tuff in the Koobi Fora Formation where it outcrops 10–12 m above the α-Tulu Bor Tuff, and has been dated radiometrically to 3.31 ± 0.02 Ma (refs 29, 30). Both the two Tulu Bor Tuffs in Section 1 and the Tororo Tuff in Section 2 occur in normal polarity magneto- zones, corresponding to the early part of the Gauss Chron C2An (Fig. 3a and Supplementary Information, part C), while the overlying sediments at both sites are in reversed polarity zones as are the sediments encompassing the in situ artefacts at LOM3, 10 m above the Tororo Tuff (Fig. 3b). Thus, the artefacts were deposited after 3.31 ± 0.02 Ma during the Mammoth reverse subchron C2An.2r (3.33–3.21 Ma). Based on extrapolation of sediment accumulation rates between the levels of the α-Tulu Bor and Tororo Tuffs and the onset of subchron C2An.2r, an age of 3.3 Ma is determined for LOM3 (Extended Data Fig. 3 and Supplementary Information, part C), which accords with previous interpretations of the antiquity of fossils from this locality.37–30

Stable carbon isotopic analyses of pedogenic carbonate nodules located adjacent to and at LOM3 yielded a mean δ13CVPDB value of −7.3 ± 1.1‰ (Extended Data Fig. 4 and Supplementary Information, part D), which indicates a mean fraction of woody cover (fwc) of 47 ± 9% and positions the site within a woodland/bushland/thicket/shrubland environment. Our results are comparable to paleosol δ13CVPDB values of other East African hominin environments between 3.2 and 3.4 Ma but significantly woodier than the 2.6 Ma artefact site at Gona, Ethiopia (Extended Data Figs 4b, c). The associated fauna supports this interpretation (Supplementary Information, part E).

The Lomekwi 3 site

The LOM3 site is a low hill eroded into by a small ravine. The uppermost sediments encountered during excavation form a plaque of slope deposit which is a few centimetres thick (Fig. 2a). Under it, a series of interdigitated lenses of sands, granules and silts are found. They correspond to different facies of the same sedimentary environment related to the distal fan deposit in which the artefacts are preserved (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Information, part B). Sealed in situ in these Pliocene sediments (Extended Data Fig. 5), the LOM3 archaeological material is considered to be in a slightly re-distributed primary archaeological context based on the following observations: (1) artefacts of different sizes, ranging from ~1 cm wide flake fragments to very large worked cobbles and cores are present; (2) artefacts are larger and heavier than could be carried by the energy of the alluvial system that deposited the sediments; and (3) many excavated lithic pieces exhibit only slight abrasion, as reflected in the observation of arete and edge widths measuring ≤100 µm. Moreover, although it is not possible at present to link all surface finds to the excavated context, the identification of a refit between a core recovered from the dense stratified deposit and one surface flake clearly shows that at least a portion of the surface material derives directly from the in situ level (Fig. 4a). More precise interpretation of site preservation is based on observations drawn from the excavation, with the most plausible possibilities limited to either good preservation of the site and most of the assemblage, or a slight redistribution in close proximity of the original activity location (Supplementary Information, part B).

Technology of the Lomekwi 3 stone tools

Based on the lithic material recovered in 2011 and 2012, the current total assemblage (n = 149 surface and in situ artefacts) incorporates...
83 cores, 35 flakes (whole and broken), seven passive elements or potential anvils, seven percusors (whole, broken or potential), three worked cobbles, two split cobbles, and 12 artefacts grouped as indeterminate fragments or pieces lacking diagnostic attributes (Extended Data Table 1a).

Cores are made predominantly from heavy and large-sized cobbles or blocks of lava (mean of the cores: $167 \times 147.8 \times 108.8$ mm, 3.1 kg; Extended Data Table 2). Basalts (34.90%) and phonolites (34.23%) are the dominant raw materials represented, followed by trachy-phonolite (23.49%; Extended Data Table 1b), all of which were available in local paleo-channels. Initial survey of a conglomerate source less than 100 m from the site shows that cobbles and blocks of all sizes were available locally, from which the largest were consistently selected. Most cores were flaked from one striking platform onto one single surface, resulting in several superposed and contiguous unidirectional removals (unifacial partial exploitation), sometimes along a longer part of the perimeter. A few specimens show unifacial partial exploitation by multidirectional removals, while others show bifacial flaking. Significant knapping accidents occurred during flaking, with numerous hinge and step flake terminations visible on cores (Fig. 4a), though more invasive and feather terminating flakes were also often successfully removed. In some cases, cores display a series of shorter (<1 cm) contiguous small scars along a more limited portion of the platform edge, although it is not yet clear whether this results from the knapping techniques employed, or reflects the utilization of some artefacts in heavy-duty tasks.

To reconstruct more accurately the techniques and reduction strategies used to produce the LOM3 artefacts, an experimental program was undertaken to replicate the lithics found at the site from the same raw materials available locally at LOM3. Together with the technological analysis of the archaeological material, these replication experiments suggest that the LOM3 knappers were using techniques including passive hammer and/or bipolar (Extended Data Fig. 6) that have to-date rarely been identified in the Oldowan. The average size and weight of the LOM3 cores (Extended Data Table 2) renders direct freehand percussion an arduous undertaking; however, it cannot be ruled out for some of the smaller cores.

The technological features of flakes and flake fragments are clear, unequivocal and seen repeatedly, demonstrating that they were intentionally knapped from the cores. They range from 19 to 205 mm long (Fig. 5d and Extended Data Table 2) and frequently present cortex on their dorsal surfaces, sometimes on their striking platforms, or both. Three pieces in particular bear localized battered areas on their dorsal surfaces—including the specimen that refits onto the in situ core (Fig. 4a)—showing that blanks were sometimes used for percussive activities before flake removal and that at least some individual blocks were involved in several distinctively different modes of use.

The largest and heaviest (up to 15 kg) pieces in the assemblage were made on large blocks of basalt or coarse trachy-phonolite. They have flat natural surfaces that could enable their stabilization for use (Fig. 5a, b and Extended Data Fig. 7a). Comparisons with other described anvils from the Early Stone Age and experiments suggest these can be interpreted as anvils or passive elements. Three of these show a similar wear and fracture pattern. The largest piece exhibits along one lateral plane a series of divergent step fractures associated with crushing marks and an additional concentration of impact damage on one horizontal surface (Fig. 5a). The other two pieces have non-invasive step fractures along a greater or lesser portion of their high-angled intersecting surfaces (edges) that are associated with crushing and impact marks (Fig. 5b and Extended Data Fig. 7a). A further two cobbles show heavy battering marks concentrated on a convex area and are interpreted as passive elements. Seven medium-sized cobbles display battering marks and/or impact damage associated with fractured surfaces and are interpreted as hand-held percussors or active elements (Extended Data Figs 7b, c).

Discussion

LOM3 core and flake techno-morphology does not conform to any observed pattern resulting from accidental natural rock fracture. On the contrary, LOM3 cores and flakes bear all the techno-morphological characteristics of debitage products. Data reported on accidental flakes from chimpanzee nut-cracking sites falls closer to the flake size spectrum observed at early Oldowan sites than to the size range of LOM3 flakes (Extended Data Table 2). LOM3 knappers were
able to deliver sufficient intentional force to repeatedly detach series of adjacent and superposed unidirectional flakes, sometimes invasive, and then to continue knapping either by laterally rotating the cores or by flipping them over for bifacial exploitation. However, though multiple flakes were successfully detached, the majority of flake scars terminate as hinge and step fractures. The precision of the percussive motion was also occasionally poorly controlled, as shown by repeated impact marks on core platforms caused by failed blows applied too far from the striking platform edge to induce fracture. LOM3 lithics (cores and flakes) are significantly larger in length, width, and thickness than those from OGS7, EG10 and EG12 at Gona, A.L. 894 at Hadar, and Omo 57 and Omo 123 in Ethiopia; Lokalalei 2C from West Turkana, Kenya; and DK and FLK Zinj from Olduvai Gorge in Tanzania (Extended Data Table 2). Furthermore, the LOM3 anvils and percussors are larger and heavier than those chosen for nut-cracking by wild chimpanzees in Bossou41 (southeastern Guinea; Extended Data Table 3). The dimensions and the percussive-related features visible on the artefacts suggest the LOM3 hominins were combining core reduction and battering activities and may have used artefacts variously: as anvils, cores to produce flakes, and/or as pounding tools. The use of individual objects for several distinctive tasks reflects a degree of technological diversity both much older than previously acknowledged and different from the generally uni-purpose stone tools used by primates 24,25. The arm and hand motions entailed in the two main modes of knapping suggested for the LOM3 assemblage, passive hammer and bipolar, are arguably more similar to those involved in the hammer-on-anvil technique chimpanzees and other primates use when engaged in nut cracking than to the direct freehand percussion evident in Oldowan assemblages. The likely prevalence of these two knapping techniques demonstrates

Figure 4 | Photographs of selected LOM3 artefacts. a, In situ core (LOM3-2011-I16-3, 1.85 kg) and refitting surface flake (LOM3-2011 surf NW7, 650 g). Unifacial core, passive hammer and bipolar technique. Both the core and the flake display a series of dispersed percussion marks on cortex showing that percussive activities occurred before the removal of the flake, potentially indicating the block was used for different purposes. b, In situ unifacial core (LOM3-2012-H18-1, 3.45 kg), bipolar technique. See Extended Data Fig. 6b for more details. c, Unifacial core (LOM3-2012 surf 71, 1.84 kg), passive hammer technique. d, Flakes (LOM3-2012-J17-3 and LOM3-2012-H17-3) showing scars of previous removals on the dorsal face. See Supplementary Information part F for 3D scans of lithic artefacts.
the central role that they might have played at the dawn of technology, as previously suggested\textsuperscript{21,22,26,37}. LOM3 predates the oldest fossil specimens attributed to \textit{Homo} in West Turkana at 2.34 ± 0.04 Ma\textsuperscript{59} by almost a million years; the only hominin species known to have been living in the West Turkana region at the time is \textit{K. platyops}, while \textit{Australopithecus afarensis} is found in the Lower Awash Valley at 3.39 Ma in association with cut-marked bones from Dikika\textsuperscript{20}. The LOM3 artefacts indicate that their makers’ hand motor control must have been substantial and thus that reorganization and/or expansion of several regions of the cerebral cortex (for example, somatosensory, visual, premotor and motor cortex), cerebellum, and of the spinal tract could have occurred before 3.3 Ma. The functional morphology of the upper limb of Pliocene hominins (especially \textit{A. afarensis}, the only species for which contemporaneous fossil hand and wrist elements are known), particularly in terms of adaptations for stone tool making, must be investigated further if this important milestone in human evolution is to be understood more fully (Supplementary Information, part A).

Critical questions relating to how the LOM3 assemblage compares with the previously known earliest hominin stone tool techno-complex, the Oldowan, remain. They are difficult to address because the term Oldowan has been defined differently since it was first employed in 1934 (refs 16, 45–47). The simplest defining characteristics of the Oldowan are that its knappers show the earliest evidence of a basic understanding of the conchoidal fracture mechanics of stone and were able to effectively strike flakes from cores, more often than not knapping using ‘grammars of action’\textsuperscript{48} and predominantly using the free-hand knapping technique\textsuperscript{11,17}. The LOM3 knappers’ understanding of stone fracture mechanics and grammars of action is clearly less developed than that reflected in early Oldowan assemblages and neither were they predominantly using free-hand technique. The LOM3 assemblage could represent a technological stage between a hypothetical pounding-oriented stone tool use by an earlier hominin and the flaking-oriented knapping behaviour of later, Oldowan toolmakers. The term ‘Pre-Oldowan’ has been suggested for modified stones if ever found in deposits older than 2.6 Ma, especially if they are different in terms of knapping skill from the Oldowan \textit{sensu stricto}\textsuperscript{60} (this is not to be confused with previous uses of the same term by some authors to describe the early Oldowan period between 2.6–2 Ma\textsuperscript{60}). The LOM3 assemblage may therefore concord with such a premise. We assert, however, that the technological and morphological differences between the LOM3 and early Oldowan assemblages are significant enough that amalgamating them would mask important behavioural and cognitive changes occurring among hominins over a nearly 2-million-year timespan. A separate name for the LOM3 assemblage is therefore warranted. Given the paradigmatic shift that LOM3 portends for models that aim to converge environmental change, hominin evolution and technological origins, the name Lomekwian is proposed. In any scenario, the LOM3 stone tools mark a new beginning to the known archaeological record, now shown to be more than 700,000 years older than previously thought. Note added in proof: The recently described LD 350-1 partial mandible from Ethiopia now provides the earliest evidence of the genus \textit{Homo} at 2.8 Ma (Villa-Boavione, B. \textit{et al.} Early \textit{Homo} at 2.8 Ma from Ledi-Geraru, Afar, Ethiopia. \textit{Science}, 347, 1352–1355). The LOM3 artefacts
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still predate the known origins of Homo by half a million years and the question of what hominid species made them remains.
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